



"Two roads diverged in a wood, and I -
I took the one less traveled by,
And that has made all the difference."

Robert Frost

*A Brief History of the Formation of
the Kentucky Court of Justice's
Court Designated Worker Program*

APPENDIX B - PILOT PROJECT STATISTICS

**Public Offense Actions
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Felony	Misd.	Violation	Traffic	Other	Unknown	Total
Bath and Montgomery	45	125	26	6	5	3	210
Calloway	70	112	7	35	0	4	228
Christian	99	236	3	6	36	0	380
Clay	33	117	10	6	7	3	176
Graves	30	100	27	6	1	0	164
Leslie	4	9	1	1	0	1	16
Letcher	11	100	3	6	4	1	125
Lincoln, Garrard and Jessamine	26	96	14	12	3	25	176
Perry	<u>52</u>	<u>136</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>212</u>
Total	370	1031	97	92	56	41	1687

Public Offense
Actions:

Indicates both the number of individual complaints issued and the number of actions resolved without the filing of a complaint.

Other:

Contempt of court, violation of probation, failure to appear, failure to pay restitution, violation of local ordinances, etc.

Unknown:

The offenses were not identified on the JSO logs as felonies or misdemeanors.

**Status Offense Actions
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Runaway	Beyond Control	Truancy	Unknown	Total
Bath and Montgomery	28	31	54	0	113
Calloway	4	9	1	0	14
Christian	9	52	21	0	82
Clay	17	6	5	0	28
Graves	7	15	3	0	25
Leslie	3	0	1	0	4
Letcher	11	6	0	0	17
Lincoln, Garrard and Jessamine	26	19	5	3	53
Perry	<u>30</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>103</u>
Total	135	192	109	3	439

Status Offense
Actions:

Indicates both the number of individual complaints issued and the number of actions resolved without the filing of a complaint.

Unknown:

The JSO logs were not available.

**Pre-Adjudicative Secure Detention
Public Offenders
September, 1983 - April, 1984**

No. Hrs. in Secure Detention	Bath & Mont- gomery	Callo- way	Clay	Graves	Leslie	Letcher	Lincoln, Garrard & Jessamine	Perry	Total
1	0	0	11	1	0	29	17	6	64
2	0	0	11	0	0	10	16	1	38
3	0	0	5	0	0	8	8	1	22
4	0	0	1	0	0	2	4	0	7
5	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	6
6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	5
7	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	0	8
8	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	2	8
9	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	4	7
10	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	2	10
11	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	6
12	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	7
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
19	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
24	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	2	11
25-28	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	5
49-72	8	5	13	1	0	5	12	3	47
Missing Informa- tion	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>
Total	8	7	66	2	1	77	74	34	269

Secure Detention: Any contact with juvenile detention facilities or jails, including entry into a booking area.

The jails in Clay, Letcher, Lincoln, Jessamine, and Perry Counties were often utilized by law enforcement officers as the facility to which children were taken after being taken into custody. The JSO's were notified and went to the jails to make release decisions.

**Pre-Adjudicative Secure Detention
Status Offenders
September, 1983 - April, 1984**

No. Hrs. in Secure Detention	Bath & Mont- gomery	Callo- way	Clay	Graves	Leslie	Letcher	Lincoln, Garrard & Jessamine	Perry	Total
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	6
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
9	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
12	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
13	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
25-28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49-72	1	0	1	0	0	5	3	0	10
Missing Informa- tion	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
Total	1	0	10	0	0	8	14	7	40

Secure Detention: Any contact with juvenile detention facilities or jails, including entry into a booking area.

The jails in Clay, Letcher, Lincoln, Jessamine, and Perry Counties were often utilized by law enforcement officers as the facility to which children were taken after being taken into custody. The JSO's were notified and went to the jails to make release decisions.

**Number of Children Who Received
Juvenile Service Officer Services
September, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Public Offenders	Status Offenders	Total
Bath and Montgomery	110	100	210
	85	8	93
Calloway		20	121
Clay	101	21	112
Graves	91	3	14
Leslie	11	13	94
Letcher	81		
Lincoln, Garrard, and Jessamine	126	36	162
	<u>115</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>202</u>
Perry		288	1008
TOTAL	720		

**Preliminary Inquiry Hearings
Public Offense Cases
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Public Offense Cases Referred to Formal Court	Public Offense Cases Processed Informally	Total
Bath and Montgomery	54 (40%)	81 (60%)	135
Calloway	24 (22%)	87 (78%)	111
Christian	1 (1%)	74 (99%)	75
Clay	65 (63%)	39 (38%)	104
Graves	19 (22%)	67 (78%)	86
Leslie	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	5
Letcher	38 (44%)	49 (56%)	87
Lincoln, Garrard, and Jessamine	66 (50%)	65 (50%)	131
Perry	<u>72</u> (52%)	<u>67</u> (48%)	<u>139</u>
TOTAL	341 (39%)	532 (61%)	873

**Public Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for public offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with four burglaries in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for three burglaries and then referred the following week for another burglary represents two cases.

**Preliminary Inquiry Hearings
Public Offense Cases
Single Most Serious Offense Referred to Formal Court
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Felony	Misd.	Violation	Traffic	Other	Unknown	Total
Bath and Montgomery	19	25	4	3	3	0	54
Calloway	17	7	0	0	0	0	24
Christian	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clay	18	38	0	2	5	2	65
Graves	6	13	0	0	0	0	19
Leslie	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
Letcher	10	26	0	0	1	1	38
Lincoln, Garrard and Jessamine	22	28	5	2	2	7	66
Perry	<u>29</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>72</u>
Total	122	174	11	10	12	12	341

Other: Contempt of court, violation of probation, failure to appear, failure to pay restitution, local ordinances, etc.

Unknown: The offenses were not identified on the JSO logs as felonies or misdemeanors.

**Public Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for public offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with four burglaries in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for three burglaries and then referred the following week for another burglary represents two cases.

**Preliminary Inquiry Hearings
Public Offense Cases
Single Most Serious Offense Processed Informally
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Felony	Misd.	Violation	Traffic	Other	Unknown	Total
Bath and Montgomery	2	61	16	2	0	0	81
Calloway	6	55	3	23	0	0	87
Christian	0	71	0	3	0	0	74
Clay	0	34	4	1	0	0	39
Graves	11	47	5	4	0	0	67
Leslie	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
Letcher	0	44	1	3	1	0	49
Lincoln, Garrard, and Jessamine	1	41	9	6	5	3	65
Perry	<u>2</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>67</u>
Total	22	406	45	47	6	6	532

Other: Contempt of court, violation of probation, failure to appear, failure to pay restitution, local ordinances, etc.

Unknown: The offenses were not identified on the JSO logs as felonies or misdemeanors.

**Public Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for public offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with four burglaries in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for three burglaries and then referred the following week for another burglary represents two cases.

**Preliminary Inquiry Hearings
Status Offense Cases
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Status Offense Cases Referred to Formal Court	Status Offense Cases Processed Informally	Total
Bath and Montgomery	25 (32%)	52 (68%)	77
Calloway	3 (100%)	0	3
Christian	1 (4%)	24 (96%)	25
Clay	3 (21%)	11 (79%)	14
Graves	1 (8%)	12 (92%)	13
Leslie	1	0	1
Letcher	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	10
Lincoln, Garrard, and Jessamine	10 (45%)	12 (55%)	22
Perry	<u>18 (25%)</u>	<u>54 (75%)</u>	<u>72</u>
TOTAL	69 (30%)	168 (70%)	237

**Status Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for status offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with being a runaway and a truant in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for being a truant and then referred the following week for being a runaway represents two cases.

**Preliminary Inquiry Hearings
Status Offense Cases Referred to Formal Court
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Runaway	Beyond Control	Truancy	Curfew	Total
Bath and Montgomery	5	15	5	0	25
Calloway	1	2	0	0	3
Christian	0	1	0	0	1
Clay	0	1	0	2	3
Graves	0	0	1	0	1
Leslie	1	0	0	0	1
Letcher	4	3	0	0	7
Lincoln, Garrard and Jessamine	4	5	1	0	10
Perry	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>18</u>
Total	22	34	11	2	69

**Status Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for status offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with being a runaway and a truant in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for being a truant and then referred the following week for being a runaway represents two cases.

**Preliminary Inquiry Hearings
Status Offense Cases Processed Informally
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Runaway	Beyond Control	Truancy	Curfew	Unknown	Total
Bath and Montgomery	7	7	38	0	0	52
Calloway	0	0	0	0	0	0
Christian	0	13	11	0	0	24
Clay	6	2	2	1	0	11
Graves	1	10	1	0	0	12
Leslie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Letcher	2	1	0	0	0	3
Lincoln, Garrard and Jessamine	2	6	2	0	2	12
Perry	<u>12</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>54</u>
Total	30	70	64	2	2	168

**Status Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for status offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with being a runaway and a truant in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for being a truant and then referred the following week for being a runaway represents two cases.

**Public and Status Offense Cases Referred by the Judges
from Formal Court to the Juvenile Service Officers
for Informal Processing
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Public Offense Cases	Status Offense Cases	Total
Bath and Montgomery	0	0	0
Calloway	0	0	0
Christian	12	14	26
Clay	0	0	0
Graves	2	0	2
Leslie	0	0	0
Letcher	1	2	3
Lincoln, Garrard, and Jessamine	10	1	11
Perry	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
TOTAL	26	18	44

**Public Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for public offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with four burglaries in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for three burglaries and then referred the following week for another burglary represents two cases.

**Status Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for status offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with being a runaway and a truant in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for being a truant and then referred the following week for being a runaway represents two cases.

**Types of Informal Action Taken For
Public Offense Cases
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	No Further Action	Social Service Referral	Diversion Agreement	Total
Bath and Montgomery	1	0	77	78
Calloway	2	0	85	87
Christian	42	3	40	85
Clay	1	0	36	37
Graves	8	0	59	67
Leslie	3	0	0	3
Letcher	33	9	8	50
Lincoln, Garrard and Jessamine	27	3	40	70
Perry	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>67</u>
Total	124 23%	18 3%	402 74%	544

**Public Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for public offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with four burglaries in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for three burglaries and then referred the following week for another burglary represents two cases.

**Public Offense Cases
Diversion Agreements
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Diversion Agreements Successfully Completed	Diversion Agreements Unsuccessfully Completed	Active Diversion Agreements	Total
Bath and Montgomery	55	0	23	78
Calloway	87	0	0	87
Christian	24	1	15	40
Clay	19	0	17	36
Graves	13	0	46	59
Leslie	0	0	0	0
Letcher	1	0	7	8
Lincoln, Garrard and Jessamine	22	0	18	40
Perry	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>57</u>
Total	224	1	180	405

**Public Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for public offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with four burglaries in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for three burglaries and then referred the following week for another burglary represents two cases.

**Types of Informal Action Taken For
Status Offense Cases
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	No Further Action	Social Service Referral	Diversion Agreement	Total
Bath and Montgomery	6	0	46	52
Calloway	0	0	0	0
Christian	4	4	29	37
Clay	7	0	4	11
Graves	2	3	7	12
Leslie	0	0	0	0
Letcher	1	4	0	5
Lincoln, Garrard and Jessamine	9	2	2	13
Perry	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>57</u>
Total	39 21%	24 13%	124 66%	187

**Status Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for status offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with being a runaway and a truant in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for being a truant and then referred the following week for being a runaway represents two cases.

**Status Offense Cases
Diversion Agreements
July, 1983 - April, 1984**

Pilot Project Counties	Diversion Agreements Successfully Completed	Diversion Agreements Unsuccessfully Completed	Active Diversion Agreements	Total
Bath and Montgomery	14	10	22	46
Calloway	0	0	0	0
Christian	2	4	23	29
Clay	2	0	2	4
Graves	0	1	6	7
Leslie	0	0	0	0
Letcher	0	0	0	0
Lincoln, Garrard and Jessamine	2	0	0	2
Perry	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>36</u>
Total	20	23	81	124

**Status Offense
Case:**

A case represents a child dealt with by the juvenile court on a new referral for status offense matters, regardless of the number of offenses contained in the referral. For example, a child charged with being a runaway and a truant in a single referral represents a single case, while a child referred for being a truant and then referred the following week for being a runaway represents two cases.